



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,409	07/08/2003	Takashi Honda	OCA-177-A	7942
7590	09/01/2005			
Carrier, Blackman & Associates, P.C. 24101 Novi Road #100 Novi, MI 48375			EXAMINER DUNN, DAVID R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,409	HONDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Dunn	3616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Dunn. (3) _____

(2) Kelly McGlashen. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 August 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Castro et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed claim amendments were discussed (see attachment). The examiner recommended inserting "wherein in the folded state" after "a protruding portion" to distinguish over the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICES
CARRIER, BLACKMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.24101 NOVI ROAD
SUITE 100
NOVI, MICHIGAN 48375Tel. (248) 344-4422
Fax (248) 344-1096
E-mail: cblack@ameritech.net
www.carrier-blackman.comJOSEPH P. CARRIER*
WILLIAM BLACKMAN**Registered to practice before the
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

U. S. and Foreign Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights, Computer Law, Trade Secrets, Licensing, and Litigation

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

TO: THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTN: EXR. DAVID DUNN

FROM: CARRIER BLACKMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

FAX NO. CALLED: 571-273-6670NO. OF PAGES (Including this page) 4Applicant: HONDADocket: OCA-177-ASerial No.: 10/615,409Title: SIDE AIRBAG DEVICE

Please date stamp and return this page, via facsimile, to acknowledge receipt of:

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENTS** FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY **IN RESPONSE TO 1ST OA MAILED 5/23/05.Sent via fax on Aug 22 2005By: Kelly McGlashen**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**

The information contained in this transmission is confidential. It is protected by the attorney-client, joint-defense, work-product, witness-statement and/or party-communication privileges. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the recipient or reader of this message is not the intended recipient named above, distribution, further transmittal, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address. Thank you.

**PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENTS
FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**

177
Attorney Docket No. OCA-~~155~~-A

Applicant: Honda et al.
Serial No.: 10/615,409
Filed: 08 July 2003
Group Art Unit: 3616
Examiner: Dunn, David R.
Confirmation No. 7942
Title: SIDE AIRBAG DEVICE

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. (Currently Amended) An air bag device comprising: an air bag which is accommodated in a folded state along sides of a seat back and a seat cushion of a seat for an occupant and which is adapted to be expanded by a gas generated by an inflator upon side collision of a vehicle to be deployed between an inner surface of a side of a vehicle compartment and the occupant,

wherein the air bag comprises a protruding portion, the protruding portion extending laterally outward from a side of a seat cushion so that the protruding portion is disposed outside a lap belt of a seat belt device provided with the seat.

11. (Currently Amended) An air bag device comprising:

an air bag which is accommodated in a folded state along sides of a seat back and a seat cushion of a seat for an occupant of a vehicle;

an inflator which generates a gas to the air bag upon side collision of the vehicle to be deployed between an inner surface of a side of a vehicle compartment and the occupant,

wherein the air bag comprises a protruding portion, the protruding portion extending laterally outward from a side of a seat cushion so that the protruding portion is disposed outside a lap belt of a seat belt device provided with the seat such that no portion of the air bag is between the lap belt and the occupant.

Remarks: The airbag devices disclosed by Castro and Decomps are clearly different than that of the applicant. For example, the "protrusion" of Castro's device simply corresponds to an exposed portion of the airbag 131 at the location where it transitions from being housed within the seat back 136 to being housing within the seat cushion 137. As regards Decomps, this reference does not disclose a protrusion since the entirety of airbag 40 is disposed externally of the seat 10. In contrast, the applicant's folded airbag resides below the surface of the seat along the seat back, at the transition between the seat back and seat cushion, and along the seat cushion, and protrudes along a side of the seat cushion at a location spaced apart from the seat back.

In the proposed amendments herein, the applicant claims that the air bag includes a protrusion which extends laterally outward from a side of a seat cushion so that the

protruding portion is disposed outside a lap belt of a seat belt device. These features are not disclosed or suggested in the cited prior art references.